

1 IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
2 FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS  
3 FORT WORTH DIVISION

4 In Re: ) **Case No. 10-43400-dml-11**  
5 ) Chapter 11  
6 TEXAS RANGERS BASEBALL )  
7 PARTNERS, ) Fort Worth, Texas  
8 ) Monday, July 26, 2010  
9 Debtor. ) 10:30 a.m. Docket  
10 )  
11 ) STATUS CONFERENCE  
12 )  
13 )

---

14 TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS  
15 BEFORE THE HONORABLE D. MICHAEL LYNN,  
16 UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY JUDGE.  
17

18 APPEARANCES:

19 For the Debtor: Michelle Larson  
20 (*Via Telephone*) WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES, LLP  
21 200 Crescent Court, Suite 300  
22 Dallas, TX 75201-6950  
23 (214) 746-7730

24 For the Office of the Stephen J. Shimshak  
25 Commissioner of Baseball: Christopher Brumm  
(*Via Telephone*) PAUL, WEISS, RIFKIND, WHARTON &  
GARRISON, LLP  
1285 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, NY 10019-6064  
(212) 373-3133

For Rangers Baseball Glenn Kurtz  
Express: Greg Starner  
(*Via Telephone*) WHITE & CASE, LLP  
1155 Avenue of the Americas  
New York, NY 10036-2787  
(212) 819-8839

For GSP Finance, LLC: David A. Sullivan  
(*Via Telephone*) Jason Young  
CLIFFORD CHANCE  
31 West 52nd Street  
New York, NY 10019-6131  
(212) 878-4948

## 1 APPEARANCES, cont'd.:

2 For Major League Baseball  
3 Players Association:  
4 (Via Telephone) Richard M. Seltzer  
COHEN, WEISS AND SIMON, LLP  
330 West 42nd Street  
New York, NY 10036-6976  
(212) 563-4100

5 For Fox Cable Ventures,  
6 Inc.:  
7 (Via Telephone) Paul J. Laurin  
RUTTER HOBBS & DAVIDOFF  
INCORPORATED  
1901 Avenue of the Stars, Suite  
1700  
Los Angeles, CA 90067  
(310) 286-1700

9 For the Debtor: Ronit J. Berkovich  
10 WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES, LLP  
11 767 Fifth Avenue  
New York, NY 10153-0119  
(212) 310-8534

12 Debtor's Conflicts Counsel: Jeff P. Prostok  
13 FORSHEY & PROSTOK, LLP  
14 777 Main Street, Suite 1290  
Fort Worth, TX 76102  
(817) 877-8855

15 For the Unsecured  
16 Creditors' Committee: Jeffrey Fine  
K&L GATES, LLP  
17 1717 Main Street, Suite 2800  
Dallas, TX 75201-7342  
(214) 939-5567

18 For Rangers Equity  
19 Holding Entities: Louis Strubeck  
FULBRIGHT & JAWORSKI, LLP  
20 2200 Ross Avenue Suite 2800  
Dallas, TX 77010  
(214) 855-8000

21 For JPMorgan Chase: Scott M. DeWolf  
22 ROCHELLE MCCULLOUGH, LLP  
23 325 N. St. Paul St., Suite 4500  
Dallas, TX 75201  
(214) 953-0182

24

25

## 1 APPEARANCES, cont'd.:

2 For Mark Cuban Entity  
3 Prospective Bidder:Clifton R. Jessup, Jr.  
GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP  
2200 Ross Avenue, Suite 5200  
Dallas, TX 75201  
4 (214) 665-36385 For Major League Baseball  
6 Players Association:Ian Roberts  
BAKER BOTTS, LLP  
2001 Ross Avenue  
Dallas, TX 75201  
7 (214) 953-6719

8 For Alexander Rodriguez:

Joseph J. Wielebinski, Jr.  
MUNSCH, HARDT, KOPF, & HARR, P.C.  
9 3800 Lincoln Plaza  
500 North Akard Street  
10 Dallas, TX 75201-6659  
11 (214) 855-756112 For Fox Cable Ventures,  
13 Inc.:Robert P. Franke  
STRASBURGER & PRICE, LLP  
901 Main Street, Suite 4300  
Dallas, TX 75202  
14 (214) 651-430015 For the Office of the  
16 Commissioner of Baseball:Peter D'Apice  
STUTZMAN BROMBERG ESSERMAN &  
PLIFKA, PC  
2323 Bryan Street, Suite 2200  
Dallas, TX 75201  
17 (214) 969-4900

18 For GSP Finance, LLC:

Andrew Spaniol  
GARDERE, WYNNE AND SEWELL, LLP  
19 1601 Elm Street, Suite 3000  
20 Dallas, TX 75201  
(214) 999-496121 For Ad Hoc Group of First  
22 Lien Lenders:Daniel C. Stewart  
VINSON & ELKINS, LLP  
Trammell Crow Center  
2001 Ross Avenue, Suite 3700  
23 Dallas, TX 75201-2975  
24 (214) 220-7761  
25

1 APPEARANCES, cont'd.:

2 For Ad Hoc Group of First      Andrew M. Leblanc  
3 Lien Lenders:                      MILBANK, TWEED, HADLEY & MCCLOY,  
4    LLP  
5    International Square Building  
6    1850 K Street, NW  
7    Washington, DC 20006  
8    (202) 835-7574

6 For Rangers Baseball              Thomas E. Lauria  
7 Express:                              WHITE & CASE, LLP  
8    Wachovia Financial Center  
9    200 South Biscayne Boulevard,  
10    Suite 4900  
11    Miami, FL 33131-2352  
12    (305) 995-5282

10 Court Recorder:                      Sandy Maben  
11    UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT  
12    510 W. 10th Street  
13    Fort Worth, TX 76102  
14    (817) 333-6015

13 Transcription Service:              Kathy Rehling  
14    209 Bay Circle  
15    Coppell, TX 75019  
16    (972) 304-1998

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording;  
transcript produced by transcription service.

1                   FORT WORTH, TEXAS - JULY 26, 2010 - 10:31 A.M.

2                   THE COURT: Please be seated. All right. This is the  
3 Texas Rangers Baseball Partners. I'm going to read the  
4 telephone roll. We have Ms. Larson for Texas Rangers Baseball  
5 Partners?

6                   MS. LARSON: Good morning, Your Honor.

7                   THE COURT: Good morning. Mr. Brumm and Mr. Shimshak  
8 for the Commissioner of Baseball?

9                   MR. SHIMSHAK: Yes. Good morning, Your Honor.

10                  MR. BRUMM: Good morning, Your Honor.

11                  THE COURT: Mr. Esserman for the Commissioner of  
12 Baseball?

13                  MR. SHIMSHAK: Your Honor, Mr. Esserman will not be  
14 participating today. He had a conflicting meeting.

15                  THE COURT: All right. Let me give you all fair  
16 warning. For some reason or other, you're not coming in very  
17 loudly.

18                  Mr. Averch and Mr. Starner for Rangers Baseball Express?

19                  MR. KURTZ: Good morning, Your Honor. Glenn Kurtz and  
20 Greg Starner are on.

21                  THE COURT: All right. Mr. Seider and Mr. Fabiani for  
22 JPMorgan Chase?

23                  (No response.)

24                  THE COURT: All right. Mr. Sullivan and Mr. Young for  
25 GSP Finance?

1 MR. SULLIVAN: Good morning, Your Honor.

2 THE COURT: Good morning.

3 MR. YOUNG: Good morning.

4 THE COURT: Mr. Seltzer for Major League Baseball  
5 Players Association?

6 MR. SELTZER: Good morning, Your Honor.

7 THE COURT: And Mr. Laurin for Fox Sports Network?

8 MR. LAURIN: Yes. Good morning, Your Honor.

9 THE COURT: I assume, Mr. Laurin, that you're  
10 attending not in the capacity of a reporter, but rather in the  
11 capacity of a contract partner of the Debtor. Is that correct?

12 MR. LAURIN: That is correct, sir. I am not a  
13 journalist.

14 THE COURT: Well, that could be said of others as  
15 well.

16 Could I have appearances in the courtroom, please?

17 MS. BERKOVICH: Ronit Berkovich; Weil Gotshal &  
18 Manges; --

19 THE COURT: You've got to do it into the microphone.

20 MS. BERKOVICH: Okay. Ronit Berkovich; Weil Gotshal &  
21 Manges; for the Debtor, --

22 THE COURT: Very good.

23 MS. BERKOVICH: -- Texas Rangers Baseball Partners.

24 THE COURT: Very good.

25 MR. PROSTOK: Jeff Prostok, conflicts counsel for the

1 Debtor.

2 MR. FINE: Your Honor, Jeffrey Fine; K&L Gates; on  
3 behalf of the Committee.

4 MR. STRUBECK: Good morning, Your Honor. Louis  
5 Strubeck of Fulbright & Jaworski on behalf of the Ranger Equity  
6 debtors.

7 MR. DEWOLF: Good morning, Your Honor. Scott DeWolf;  
8 Rochelle McCullough; on behalf of JPMorgan Chase.

9 MR. JESSUP: Good morning, Your Honor. Clifton Jessup  
10 of Greenberg Traurig on behalf of a Mark Cuban company,  
11 prospective bidder.

12 MR. ROBERTS: Good morning, Your Honor. Ian Roberts  
13 of Baker Botts on behalf of the Players Association.

14 MR. WIELEBINSKI: Good morning, Your Honor. Joe  
15 Wielebinski; Munsch Hardt. I represent Alex Rodriguez.

16 MR. FRANKE: Good morning, Your Honor. Bob Franke;  
17 Strasburger & Price; Fox Sports Partners.

18 MR. D'APICE: Good morning, Your Honor. Peter D'Apice  
19 with Stutzman Bromberg Esserman & Plifka for the Office of the  
20 Commissioner of Baseball.

21 MR. SPANIOL: Good morning, Your Honor. Andrew  
22 Spaniol of Gardere Wynne for GSP as the Second Lien Agent.

23 MR. STEWART: Dan Stewart of Vinson & Elkins and Andy  
24 Leblanc of Milbank Tweed on behalf of the Ad Hoc Group of First  
25 Lien Lenders.

1           MR. LAURIA: Good morning, Your Honor. Tom Lauria  
2 with White & Case. We represent Rangers Baseball Express.

3           THE COURT: All right. All right. The purpose of  
4 this status conference is to address two issues, plus I want to  
5 give you a preview of what I will be issuing in the way of a  
6 memorandum opinion, hopefully within the next couple of days,  
7 as a result of last week's hearing.

8           But the first thing is that the Equity Owners, per the  
9 Chief Restructuring Officer, have requested that we extend the  
10 auction date from August 4th to August 9th. And we received a  
11 response, I received a response to that, which I believe you  
12 were all copied on, from Mr. Esserman, indicating that Major  
13 League Baseball could not comply with that request.

14          I will be candid with you. I am concerned. I am concerned  
15 and I am going to issue later this week an e-mail to the  
16 principal parties telling you what your time limits are going  
17 to be on August 4th and 5th. Because it's my understanding  
18 that Major League Baseball, as I understand it -- and you can  
19 correct me if I'm wrong, Mr. Shimshak -- as I understand it,  
20 Major League Baseball owners are meeting on August 12th, which  
21 would be the date that they anticipate approving or  
22 disapproving, as the case may be, Express, should Express be  
23 the successful bidder. And it is my further understanding that  
24 there are prerequisites to submitting Express or possibly  
25 another bidder to the Major League Owners at that time for



1 their approval.

2 Did I get that right, Mr. Shimshak?

3 MR. SHIMSHAK: Yes. Yes, you did, Your Honor. Major  
4 League Baseball is proceeding, as we must, without committing  
5 to the Greenberg-Ryan Group being the successful bidder at the  
6 auction, but they are the ones who have the immediate time  
7 constraint. So we are proceeding to meet on August 12th.  
8 We're taking the steps necessary to be in a position.

9 I thought it would be helpful for the Court, and it's one  
10 of the reasons why I asked Mr. Brumm to be here today, if we  
11 could outline briefly those steps which need to be taken. And  
12 I think, when you hear the outline of those steps, you and the  
13 -- you, Your Honor, and the parties in the courtroom will  
14 understand the time sensitivities that are involved here and  
15 why completing the auction on August 9th, from the perspective  
16 of Major League Baseball, is an unworkable proposition.

17 But I'm going to let Mr. Brumm speak very briefly, and then  
18 I'll -- I may have some additional comments.

19 THE COURT: Mr. Brumm?

20 MR. BRUMM: Yes. Just to give you the background,  
21 Your Honor, what we need to do is send out a report to all the  
22 owners and give the owners the proper time to study that report  
23 and perform their duties under the Constitution. And so at  
24 this point we would need at least a week to try to get that out  
25 and get that out to them, and we're undertaking to be in that

1 position to do so. If Mr. Greenberg is the winning bidder in  
2 the process and we move ahead with confirmation, after that,  
3 the Ownership Committee would meet and the Executive Council  
4 will meet, and they need time to digest the report and meet.  
5 And we're already working on a very tight timetable with  
6 respect to that.

7 THE COURT: All right. If I understand what you're  
8 saying, you need to issue a report, then this needs to be  
9 reviewed by the Executive Committee and the Membership  
10 Committee. Is that correct?

11 MR. BRUMM: Yes. It's the Ownership Committee and the  
12 Executive Council.

13 THE COURT: Okay. The Ownership Committee and the  
14 Executive Council, before it's submitted to the vote of the  
15 owners?

16 MR. BRUMM: That's correct. And we have -- we, you  
17 know, we would plan to move ahead with those meetings on August  
18 11th. But, you know, they would still need some time to digest  
19 the report before that and maybe to have some preliminary calls  
20 with Mr. Greenberg, if he is indeed the winning bidder.

21 MR. SHIMSHAK: Your Honor, and I would add that a  
22 critical --

23 THE COURT: This is Mr. Shimshak. Please identify  
24 yourselves on the phone. And I apologize, but we have to have  
25 you for purposes of the record.

1           MR. SHIMSHAK: Of course, Your Honor. This is Mr.  
2 Shimshak again.

3           Your Honor, as you can perhaps infer from Mr. Brumm's  
4 comments, a critical factor here will of course be the final  
5 winning bid. So, for example, if Mr. -- if the Greenberg-Ryan  
6 Group prevails at the auction but their transaction changes in  
7 some form as a consequence of the auction, including terms in  
8 addition to price, that all has to be reviewed and finalized  
9 and go into the report that Mr. Brumm described.

10          Our concern is that if the auction is completed on the 9th,  
11 there is insufficient time for the internal analysis here at  
12 Major League Baseball, insufficient time to then finalize the  
13 report and to circulate it to the two committees that Mr. Brumm  
14 described and to the owners for a vote in which they'd have  
15 sufficient time to responsibly discharge their  
16 responsibilities.

17           THE COURT: All right. Does anyone want to comment or  
18 respond with respect to that?

19          (No response.)

20           THE COURT: All right. Let me ask you this, Mr. Brumm  
21 or Mr. Shimshak. My concern, I am less -- I could wish that we  
22 could have the auction at a later date than the 4th. I don't  
23 think that there's any doubt but that that would be better.  
24 But excepting that, my concern is completing a confirmation  
25 hearing, given that we have arguably 16 standards that we have

1 to meet to confirm a plan, by noon on August 5th, which is the  
2 time that I absolutely have to leave this room. And let me ask  
3 you, is it the auction that must be completed, or must  
4 confirmation be completed as well?

5 MR. SHIMSHAK: I think the critical point from our  
6 perspective is -- because our concern is with the transaction.  
7 And so if the auction has been completed and we know of the  
8 completion of the transaction, we will be able to go forward  
9 and to do a substantial amount in terms of finalizing the  
10 report. If you think of it, the actual decision on the  
11 confirmation from the perspective of the report might be a  
12 sentence or two, but the descriptive portion of the report  
13 regarding the transaction and matters of sensitivity to Major  
14 League Baseball, that work could be done with the  
15 identification of the successful bidder at the auction.

16 THE COURT: All right. Well, --

17 MR. LAURIA: Your Honor, if I may, I have a question,  
18 I guess, for --

19 THE COURT: Mr. Lauria, speak into the microphone,  
20 please.

21 MR. LAURIA: I have a question for Mr. Shimshak or Mr.  
22 Brumm, if I may ask it.

23 THE COURT: You may ask the question and then I'll  
24 decide whether they can answer it. How's that?

25 MR. LAURIA: All right. My question is simply to get

1 clarification if Major League Baseball can submit the papers  
2 that they need to submit to the committees and to the owners  
3 before it is known who -- whether or not the transaction is  
4 going to go forward, which I think is contingent on a  
5 confirmation order.

6 THE COURT: Well, let me interject before you answer  
7 that, Mr. Shimshak. I'm guessing, and I could be mistaken -- I  
8 don't want to put words in the mouth of Mr. Leblanc or Mr.  
9 Stewart or GSP -- but I'm guessing, if I understand correctly,  
10 there are two confirmation issues that are likely to be  
11 contested, at least if Express is the prevailing bidder at the  
12 auction. And that's the Section 1129(a)(7) issues -- that is,  
13 essentially, the best interests of creditors test -- and the  
14 good faith issue under 1129(a)(3). Am I correct about that?

15 MR. LEBLANC: Andrew Leblanc; Milbank Tweed.

16 Generally correct, Your Honor. Of course, there's the  
17 issues of all of the other provisions that we think run afoul  
18 of other sections, but -- the releases, the indemnities, those  
19 types of things.

20 THE COURT: Well, again, let me make clear. And one  
21 of the things that I'm going to say to you later is: releases  
22 and indemnities have been severely limited by the Fifth  
23 Circuit's decision in *Pacific Lumber*. And the same law firm  
24 that represents this Debtor tried to get me to do more than  
25 *Pacific Lumber* did in the *Pilgrim's Pride* case, and I declined

1 to do so, and I intend to do the same thing here.

2 Let me further make clear: this proceeding is not being  
3 conducted, Ms. Berkovich, for the benefit of Tom Hicks. That  
4 seems to be a concern of some of the parties. And I will not  
5 tolerate it being done for Thomas O. Hicks. If he wants relief  
6 from this Court, he can have his lawyer come down here and file  
7 a Chapter 11 petition for himself, not for the Texas Rangers.  
8 We're not going to get him relief through that case, period.  
9 Okay?

10 MR. LEBLANC: And I just wanted to make sure that  
11 those issues weren't waived --

12 THE COURT: I understand.

13 MR. LEBLANC: -- if we didn't stand up and say that.  
14 But I think primarily the two issues are the ones that you  
15 identified, and I think, --

16 THE COURT: All right.

17 MR. LEBLANC: -- if, as we hope, an auction does break  
18 out, then we -- it may well --

19 THE COURT: Well, if -- I'm guessing, if there's more  
20 than one bidder, it's going to be a much shorter confirmation  
21 hearing, and if it is one bidder it's going to be a very long  
22 confirmation hearing. Is that a good bet?

23 MR. LEBLANC: That's a -- I would take that bet here.

24 THE COURT: Okay.

25 MR. LEBLANC: The same side Your Honor is betting

1 there.

2 THE COURT: Okay. Okay.

3 MR. LEBLANC: I wouldn't wager against you on that  
4 one.

5 THE COURT: All right. All right. Well, then what  
6 I'm going to do is I'm going to assume that, if we must, if we  
7 clear the hurdles of having a bidder and that bidder is Express  
8 as a result of the process, and if we clear 1129(a)(7) and  
9 1129(a)(3), I'm going to -- because the same issues that you're  
10 raising -- for example, indemnifications, releases and sale of  
11 other assets -- are going to come into play under both of those  
12 issues, if we clear both of those, then I am going to assume  
13 that the transaction will consummate. It may be that the plan  
14 will be limited in terms of its effect under the confirmation  
15 order, but in terms of acquisition of the Texas Rangers by  
16 Express under those circumstances, that would be at that point  
17 a done deal.

18 Does that help you, Mr. Shimshak?

19 MR. SHIMSHAK: It does, Your Honor.

20 THE COURT: All right. All right. The second issue  
21 that we have is the question of the protective order that has  
22 been requested by motion by the Lender parties. I have  
23 reviewed that and I'm ready to comment on it, but I want to  
24 hear from you to the extent that you think it necessary to talk  
25 to me.

1           MR. LEBLANC: Your Honor, we filed that under seal,  
2 and we actually request that we consider that in chambers,  
3 given the subject matter of the request. And there are a  
4 couple of other components to it that I think would be better  
5 discussed in chambers.

6           THE COURT: All right. Well, then when we finish here  
7 we're going to go into chambers, but we're going to take a  
8 recess. I didn't expect that and I'm not set up to make a  
9 record in chambers, and I do want a record of this on tape.  
10 So, any objection to that?

11           MR. SHIMSHAK: No, Your Honor. It's Mr. Shimshak  
12 again. Mr. D'Apice is in the courtroom for Major League  
13 Baseball and he will be able to communicate our position and to  
14 participate in the chambers conference.

15           THE COURT: All right. Mr. D'Apice is well-known to  
16 me, and I know he will do a good job for you.

17           All right. Now, I'm going to read to you, and I want you  
18 to understand: I'm reading this -- I've been working drafting  
19 an opinion which addresses the various issues that were raised  
20 during the hearing and in the pleadings preceding the hearing  
21 that we held last week. I'm going to read to you from the  
22 draft, and this is a handwritten draft at this point. It  
23 hasn't even been typed the first time. I don't think that the  
24 substance will change, but it's quite possible that the  
25 language will change.



1 I'm going to read it to you, and this is not something that  
2 I'm asking you to comment on, discuss with me or file briefs  
3 with respect to. So, just this is not going to be something  
4 that you're going to get to spend clients' money on. If you  
5 have a problem with it, as soon as the opinion comes out you  
6 may appeal me or take other appropriate action in an appellate  
7 court. But I do not -- I was planning to do this at the very  
8 end of the hearing so that you couldn't talk to me anymore  
9 about it. But since I have no choice, I'm going to read it to  
10 you now.

11 This is from the conclusion or what will be the conclusion  
12 of this opinion.

13 The Court recognizes that the Court's procedures are not  
14 necessarily the optimal way to resolve this Chapter 11 case.  
15 It is possible, as the Lenders and Snyder argue, that no bidder  
16 will come forward to compete with Express at the auction.  
17 Should that be the case, Debtor and Express will have the  
18 burden of showing that the Court's procedures indeed provided  
19 an effective market test of the APA. This, however, is by no  
20 means a showing that cannot be met.

21 First, the evidence elicited at the hearing provides  
22 substantial support for the conclusion that the Court's  
23 procedures will satisfactorily market-test the APA.

24 Second, the prosecution of the motion may have chilled  
25 bidding under the Court's procedures in two ways, thus

1 explaining the potential absence of competing bidders. In the  
2 first place, rather than working toward a sale of the Rangers,  
3 the parties spent the first week after entry of the procedures  
4 order distracted by the motion and the hearing. In the second  
5 place, by highlighting the opposition to and the deficiencies  
6 of the proposed procedures, the Lenders -- and most  
7 unfortunately, Snyder -- in the motion and the hearing may have  
8 dissuaded bidders from participating in the process.

9 Not only were the bidders' attention called to the weakness  
10 in the approved procedures while overlooking the strengths,  
11 such as the retention by the Court of authority to review  
12 disapproval of bidders by the Office of the Commissioner or  
13 Major League Baseball Owners, but they may well also have left  
14 the clear impression with bidders that the approved procedures  
15 will not lead to a sale of the Rangers but rather to yet  
16 another round of negotiation and bidding, leading to an auction  
17 sometime in the distant future.

18 No bidder, of course, should so assume. Indeed, if even  
19 one bidder appears to compete with Express, the Court will  
20 almost surely conclude that the market test of the APA was  
21 fair. Certainly, a second bidder -- pardon me. Certainly, a  
22 second bidder will create for any party contending that the  
23 Court's procedures were nevertheless inadequate a serious  
24 burden to be met.

25 It is thus in every party's interest to make a success of

1 the Court's procedures.

2 And I apologize again. That's a very rough version at this  
3 point of what will be the conclusion of the procedures. And I  
4 will explain myself to the extent of telling you I do not want  
5 bidders to come to the conclusion that, oh, we can just sit on  
6 our hands, this will go away, and then we can start all over  
7 again, because the odds are it won't happen. If you want the  
8 company, if you want the team, buy it now.

9 On that note, court will be in recess. We will convene  
10 again in chambers.

11 THE CLERK: All rise.

12 (Proceedings concluded at 10:51 a.m.)

13 --oOo--

14

15

16

17

18

19

#### CERTIFICATE

20 I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from  
21 the electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-  
22 entitled matter.

23

24

Kathy Rehling  
Certified Electronic Court Transcriber  
CET\*\*D-444

\_\_\_\_\_  
Date

25

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

PROCEEDINGS	5
WITNESSES	
-none-	
EXHIBITS	
-none-	
RULINGS	16
END OF PROCEEDINGS	19
INDEX	20

INDEX